PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY FOR BOTH PCT

OCT 0 1 1997

BRUESS, Steven C. MERCHANT, GOULD, SMITH, EDELL, WELTER & SCHMIDT 3100 Norwest Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

OCT - 2 1997

MERCHANT & GOULD MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554(

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (dayimonthiyear) 19 SEP 1997

Applicant's or agent's file reference

600.311WOI1

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US 96/ 10252

07/06/1996

07/06/1995

Applicant

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA,

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. (~49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+ 49-89) 2399-4465

Authorized officer

P ter Ehrenreich

Telephone No.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		See Notification	of Transmittal of International		
600.311WOI1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	R ACTION Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA,416)			
International application No.	International filing date (day/r	nonth/year)	Priority date (day month year)		
PCT/US 96/ 10252	07/06/1996		07/06/1995		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC				
	C12N15/31				
Applicant					
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA et al.					
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to th This REPORT consists of a tota	e applicant according to Article 3	6.	ional Preliminary Examining		
been amended and are the b	asis for this report and/or sheets 607 of the Administrative Instruc	containing rectific	claims and/or drawings which have ations made before this Authority CT).		
This report contains indications as	nd corresponding pages relating t	o the following ite	ms:		
$I[\overline{X}]$ Basis of the report		J	i		
II Priority					
	opinion with regard to novelty, ir	ventive sten and i	ndustrial applicability		
		iventitive step mid .	industrial approvations,		
V Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cit	ed				
	international application				
VIII Certain observations of	on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of	•		
03/01/1997			1 9 SEP 1997		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Auth	orized officer	1.1		
European Patent Office			116000		
D-80298 Munich Tel. (- 49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (- 49-89) 2399-4465		A KARAA STEERING			
<u></u>	I 1 ciet	hone No.			
Form PCT IPF 4 400 (cover cheet) (lange	v 1994) (29/01/19	47)			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the report	
 This report has been drawn up on the basis of (Replacement Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are re not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendment 	ferred to in this report as "originally filed" and are
[] the international application as originally filed.	
[x] the description, pages 1, 2, 4-80	, as originally filed,
pages	, filed with the demand,
	, filed with the letter of O1/O8/97,
	, filed with the letter of,
[x] the claims, Nos.	, as originally filed,
Nos	, as amended under Article 19,
Nos	, filed with the demand,
Nos. 1-23	, filed with the letter of O1/O8/97,
Nos	, filed with the letter of,
2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
[] the description, pages	·
[] the claims, Nos.	
[] the drawings, sheets/fig	
 [] This report has been established as if (some of) the a considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 4. Additional observations, if necessary: 	mendments had not been made, since they have been

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35 citations and explanations supporti	5(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industring such statement	rial applicability;
1. STATEMENT		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-15, 18, 19, 22, 23	YES
	Claims 16, 17, 20, 21	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1-23	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-13, 16-21	YES
	Claims 14, 15, 22, 23	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

1) Reference is made to the following document:

D1: International Immunology, vol. 5, no. 8, pages 869-875

2) The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1, 9, 12, 13 does not involve an inventive step in respect of the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).

D1 discloses the preparation of 9 mutants of SPE-A, each with a single amino-acid substitution, and the preparation of a mutant created by deletion of the 10 N-terminal amino acids of SPE-A ("SPEA(-10)"). Several mutations are disclosed to lead to a loss of function. The mutants are expressed as fusion proteins (see "Methods on page 870). D1 also discloses the injection of one of the mutants into mice. It is disclosed that the serum of these mice is capable of specifically inhibiting the

mitogenicity of SPE-A (see page 874, left hand column, second paragraph).

Although novel over D1, the mutations recited in claim 1 cannot be considered to represent a selection which involve an inventive step in that it appears from page 21, lines 16-25 of the present description that they are equivalent at least to mutations made in domain B-beta strands 4 and 5 as disclosed in D1 (see Ala-77, Ala-100 and Ala-104). This also applies to the corresponding nucleotide sequence and host cell of respectively claims 12 and 13.

Given that the mutations disclosed in D1 can also result in the loss of mitogenicity for T-cells (see page 873), the subject-matter of claim 9 also lacks inventiveness.

- 3) It appears that the single substitutions listed by dependent claims 2-8 do not lead to any new and unexpected effects in comparison to that observed with the single substitutions carried out in D1. Claims 2-8 are therefore not considered to involve an inventive step over D1. The said claims thus do not satisfy the criterions set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.
- As acknowledged by the Applicant on pages 1-3, the role of SPE-A in toxic shock is well established in the art. The use of mutants equivalent to those disclosed in D1 as a vaccine to reduce symptoms associated with toxic shock is therefore obvious for the skilled person.
 - Claims 10, 11, 14 and 15 therefore do not satisfy the criterions set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.
- 5) The mutant SPE-A toxin of Claims 16 and 17 lack novelty over mutant "SPEA(-10)" disclosed D1. The latter is ob-

tained by deletion of the 10 N-terminal amino acids of SPE-A and can thus be considered to have more than one amino acid "changes" when compared to SPE-A. The lack of mitogenic activity of SPEA(-10) on T-cells is also disclosed in D1 (see page 873, left hand column). This also applies to the corresponding nucleotide sequence and host cell of respectively claims 20 and 21.

Again, as acknowledged by the Applicant on pages 1-3, the role of SPE-A in toxic shock is well established in the art. The use of mutant "SPE-A(-10)" known from D1 as a vaccine to reduce symptoms associated with toxic shock is therefore obvious for the skilled person. Moreover, such use is explicitely suggested on page 869 (last paragraph) of D1.

Claims 18, 19, 22 and 23 therefore lack an inventive step.

- 7) The subject-matter of claims 1-13 and 16-21 is susceptible of industrial applicability as defined in Article 33(4) PCT.
- 8) For the assessment of present claims 14, 15, 22 and 23 on the question as to whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- The expression "fragment thereof" in claim 1 can be interpreted in so many ways that it renders the scope of the claim unclear, contrary to Article 6 PCT.
- 2) As regards the function of the claimed mutant, the expression "substantially nonlethal" used in claims 1 and 16 is vague in that it does not specify any precise relation dose/mortality (Article 6 PCT).

staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome toxin 1, staphylococcal enteroxtoxins A, B, Cn, D, E. G and H, and non-group A streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxins. These toxins have similar biochemical properties, biological activities and various degrees of sequence similarity.

10

15

25

3 C

The most severe manifestations of STSS are hypotension and shock, that lead to death. generally believed that leakage of fluid from the intravascular to the interstitial space is the final cause of hypotension, supported by the observation that fluid replacement therapy is successful in preventing shock in the rabbit model of STSS described above. has been hypothesized that SPE-A may act in several ways on the host to induce this pathology. Certain single amino acid substitutions in central regions of the SPE-A molecule have been shown to affect the mitogenic activity of and binding to a HLA class II molecules by 20 SPE-A (Hartwig et al. International Immunology 5:5, 869 875 (1993)).

SPE-A has been shown to block liver clearance of endotoxin of endogenous flora's origin, by comprising the activity of liver Kuppfer cells. This appears to cause a significant increase in circulating endotoxin, that through binding to lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP) and CD14 signaling leads to macrophage activation with subsequent release of TNF-a and other cytokines. Support for the role of endotoxin in the disease is given by the finding that the lethal effects of SPE-A can be at least partially neutralized by the

administration to animals of polymyxin B or by the use of pathogen free rabbits.

Another modality of induction of shock could be the direct activity of the toxin on capillary endothelial cells. This hypothesis stems from the finding that the staphylococcal pyrogenic toxin TSST-1 binds directly to the human umbilical cord vein cells and is cytotexic to isolated porcine acrtic endothelial cells.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A mutant SPE-A toxin or fragment thereof, the mutant SPE-A toxin comprising one to six amino acid substitutions and being substantially nonlethal compared with a protein substantially corresponding to wild type SPE-A toxin;

wherein at least one of the substituted amino acids is positioned in N-terminal alpha helix 3, in domain B beta strand 1, in domain B beta strand 2, in domain B beta strand 3, in domain A beta strand 6, in domain A beta strand 6, in domain A beta strand 9, in domain A beta strand 10, or is a cysteine.

2. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 1, wherein the mutant SPE-A toxin comprises one to six amino acid substitutions; and

wherein at least one of the substituted amino acids is asparagine-20, lysine-157, or cysteine-98.

20

25

- 3. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 2, wherein the at least one amino acid substitution comprises the substitution of asparagine-20 to aspartic acid, glutamic acid, lysine or arginine; the substitution of cysteine 98 to serine, alanine, glycine, or threonine; or the substitution of lysine-157 to glutamic acid or aspartic acid.
- 4. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 3,
 wherein the at least one amino acid substitution
 comprises asparagine-20 to aspartic acid, cysteine 98
 to serine, or lysine-157 to glutamic acid.

5. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 2, wherein the at least one amino acid substitution comprises substitution of asparagine-20.

5

- 6. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 5, wherein the substitution is asparagine-20 to aspartic acid.
- 7. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 5, further comprising substitution of cysteine-98, or lysine-157.
- 8. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 7,

 15 wherein the substitution is cysteine 96 to serine, or lysine-157 to glutamic acid.
- 9. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 1, wherein the mutant has at least one of the following characteristics: the mutant has a decrease in mitogenicity for T-cells, the mutant does not substantially enhance endotoxin shock, the mutant is not lethal, or the mutant is nonlethal but retains mitogenicity comparable to that of the wild type SPE-A toxin.
 - against at least one biological activity of wild-type SPE-A comprising: an effective amount of at least one mutant SPE-A toxin according to claim 1.

- 11. A pharmaceutical composition comprising: a mutant SPE-A according to claim 1 in admixture with a physiologically acceptable carrier.
- 5 12. A DNA sequence encoding a mutant SPE-A toxin according to claim 1.
 - 13. A stably transformed host cell comprising a DNA sequence according to claim 12.

10

14. A method for protecting an animal against at least one biological activity of a wild type SPE-A comprising: administering a vaccine according to claim 10 to an animal.

15

- 15. A method for reducing symptoms associated with toxic shock comprising: administering a vaccine according to claim 10 to an animal.
- 20 16. A mutant SPE-A toxin or fragment thereof, wherein the mutant has at least two amino acid changes and is substantially nonlethal compared with a protein substantially corresponding to wild type SPE-A toxin.

25

30

17. The mutant SPE-A toxin of claim 16, wherein the mutant has at least one of the following characteristics: the mutant has a decrease in mitogenicity for T-cells, the mutant does not substantially enhance endotoxin shock, the mutant is not lethal, or the mutant is nonlethal but retains

mitogenicity comparable to that of the wild type SPE-A toxin.

- 18. A vaccine for protecting animals

 5 against at least one biological activity of wild-type

 SPE-A comprising: an effective amount of at least one

 mutant SPE-A toxin according to claim 16.
- 19. A pharmaceutical composition

 10 comprising: a mutant SPE-A according to claim 16 in admixture with a physiologically acceptable carrier.
 - 20. A DNA sequence encoding a mutant SPE-A toxin according to claim 16.

- 21. A stably transformed host cell comprising a DNA sequence according to claim 29.
- 22. A method for protecting an animal
 20 against at least one biological activity of a wild
 type SPE-A comprising: administering a vaccine
 according to claim 18 to an animal.
- 23. A method for reducing symptoms
 25 associated with toxic shock comprising: administering a vaccine according to claim 18 to an animal.



UNITED S\...iES DEPARTMENT OF CON Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

OCTOBER 31, 1996

PTAS

MERCHANT, GOULD, SMITH ET AL ATTN: ALAN W. KOWALCHYK 3100 NORWEST CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402-4131



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE NOTICE OF RECORDATION OF ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENT

THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RECORDED BY THE ASSIGNMENT DIVISION OF THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. A COMPLETE MICROFILM COPY IS AVAILABLE AT THE ASSIGNMENT SEARCH ROOM ON THE REEL IND FRAME NUMBER REFERENCED BELOW.

PLEASE REVIEW ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS NOTICE. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS RECORDATION NOTICE REFLECTS THE DATA PRESENT IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT SYSTEM. IF YOU SHOULD FIND ANY ERRORS OR HAVE QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS NOTICE, YOU MAY CONTACT THE EMPLOYEE WHOSE NAME APPEARS ON THIS NOTICE AT 703-308-9723. PLEASE SEND REQUEST FOR CORRECTION TO: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, ASSIGNMENT DIVISION, BOX ASSIGNMENTS, NORTH TOWER BUILDING, SUITE 10C35, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231.

RECORDATION DATE: 08/21/1996

REEL/FRAME: 8096/0011

NUMBER OF PAGES: 4

BRIEF: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNOR'S INTEREST (SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS).

ASSIGNOR:

SCHLIEVERT, PATRICK M.

DOC DATE: 06/28/1996

ASSIGNOR:

ROGGIANI, MANUELA

DOC DATE: 06/28/1996

ASSIGNOR:

STOEHR, JENNIFER

DOC DATE: 06/28/1996

ASSIGNOR:

OHLENDORF, DOUGLAS

DOC DATE: 06/28/1996

ASSIGNEE:

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA 100 CHURCH STREET, SOUTH EAST MORRILL HALL

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

SERIAL NUMBER: PATENT NUMBER:

FILING DATE: ISSUE DATE:

PCT NUMBER: US9610252

FFO AT

NOV 0 8 1996

JACQUELINE MOORE, EXAMINER ASSIGNMENT DIVISION OFFICE OF PUBLIC RECORDS

(Rev 6-93) OMB No. 0651-0011 (exp. 4/94) M&G-600.311WOII

08-23-1996



P-m	Ľø	/ .	
•		U.S. D	PARTMENT OF COMMERCE
			Patent and Trademark Office
'	Atte o 1	40' >	

AUG 21 ISCJ

100259442 To the Commissioner of Patents and 2. Name and address of receiving party(ies): Name of conveying party(ies): Patrick M. Schlievert REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Manuela Roggiani Morrill Hall Jennifer Stoehr 100 Church Street, South East Douglas Ohlendorf Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455 Additional name(s) of conveying party(ies) attached? ☐ Yes ☒ No Additional name(s) & address(es) attached? ☐ Yes ⊠ No 3. Nature of conveyance: Assignment Merger Security Agreement Change of Name Other: Execution Date: June 28, 1996 4. Application number(s) or patent number(s): If this document is being filed together with a new application, the execution date of the application is: A. Patent Application No.(s) B. Patent No.(s) PCT/US96/10252 Additional numbers attached?
Yes
No 6. Total number of applications and patents involved: 1 5. Name and address of party to whom correspondence concerning document should be mailed: 7. Total fee (37 CFR 3.41): \$40.00 Alan W. Kowalchyk Name: Authorized to be charged to deposit account Merchant, Gould, Smith, Edell, Welter & Schmidt Address: 3100 Norwest Center 8. Please charge any additional fees or credit any 90 South Seventh Street overpayments to our Deposit account number: 13-2725 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-4131 DO NOT USE THIS SPACE 9. Statement and signature: To the best of my knowledge and belief, the foregoing information is true and portect and any attached copy is a true copy of the original document. 20 August 1996 Alan W. Kowalchyk

Do not detach this portion

Mail documents to be recorded with required cover sheet information to:

Name of Person Signing

Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks

Box Assignments Washington, D.C. 20231

Public hurden reporting for this sample cover sheet is estimated to average about 30 minutes per document to be recorded, including time for reviewing the document and gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the sample cover sheet. Send comments regarding this burden estimate to the U.S. Patent and Frademark Office of information systems, PK2-1000C, Washington, D.C. 20231, and to the Office of Management and Budget. Paperwork Reduction Project (0651-0011), Washington, D.C. 20503.

Date

Total number of pages including cover sheet, attachments, and document: 4

ASSIGNMENT

WHEREAS. WE. Patrick M. Schlievert residing at 5305 Birchcrest Drive, Edina, MN 55436: Manuela Roggiani residing at 100 2nd Street SE, #803, Minneapolis, MN 55414: Jennifer Stoehr residing at 3981 Woodridge Circle, Vadnais Heights, MN 55127: and Doulgas Ohlendorf residing at 9397 Olympia Drive, Eden Prairie, MN 55347, repsectively, made certain new and useful inventions and improvements as described in the international patent application Serial No. PCT/US96/10252 which is entitled MUTANTS OF STREPTOCOCCAL TOXIN A AND METHODS OF USE with an international filing date of June 7, 1996.

AND WHEREAS, Regents of the University of Minnesota, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Minnesota, and having an office and place of business at Morrill Hall. 100 Church Street. South East. Minneapolis. Minnesota 55455 (hereinafter "Assignee") is desirous of acquiring the entire right, title and interest in and to said inventions, improvements and application and in and to the Letters Patent to be obtained therefor;

NOW THEREFORE, to all whom it may concern, be it known that for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar and other good and valuable considerations, the receipt and sufficiency whereof is hereby acknowledged, I/we have sold, assigned, and transferred, and by these presents do sell, assign and transfer unto said Assignee, its successors or assigns, the entire right, title and interest for all countries in and to all inventions and improvements disclosed in the aforesaid application, and in and to the application. all divisions, continuations, or renewals thereof. all Letters Patent which may be granted therefrom, and all reissues or extensions of such patents, and in and to any and all applications which have been or shall be filed in any foreign countries for Letters Patent on the inventions and improvements, including an assignment of all rights under the provisions of the International Convention, and all Letters Patent of foreign countries which may be granted therefrom; and I/we do hereby authorize and request the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to issue any and all United States Letters Patent for the aforesaid inventions and improvements to the Assignee as the assignee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the same, for the use of the Assignee. its successors and assigns.

AND, for the consideration aforesaid, I/we do hereby agree that I/we and my/our executors and legal representatives will make, execute and deliver any and all other instruments in writing including any and all further application papers, affidavits, assignments and other documents, and will communicate to said Assignee, its successors and representatives all facts known to me/us relating to said improvements and the history thereof and will testify in all legal proceedings and generally do all things which may be necessary or desirable more effectually to secure to and vest in said Assignee, its successors or assigns the entire right, title and interest in and to the improvements, inventions, applications, Letters Patent, rights, titles, benefits, privileges and advantages hereby sold, assigned and conveyed, or intended so to be.

AND, furthermore I/we covenant and agree with said Assignee, its successors and assigns, that no assignment, grant, mortgage, license or other agreement affecting the rights and property herein conveyed has been made to others by me/us and that full right to convey the same as herein expressed is possessed by me/us.

June 1996.	hereunto set my hand this <u>28</u> day of
Pa	Pate Schlievert atrick M. Schlievert
STATE OF <u>Timeonta</u>)) ss. COUNTY OF <u>Herrepin</u>)	
COUNTY OF Herregin)	
On this 28 day of Quino	, 19 <u>96</u> before me personally appeared
Patrick M. Schlievert to me known and known to me to	o be the person described in and who executed the
foregoing instrument, and he/she duly acknowledged to	o me that he/she executed the same for the uses
and purposes therein set forth.	
LINDA MCGEARY NOTARY PUBLIC - MINIESOTA HENNEPIN COUNTY My Commission Expires Jan. 31, 2000	otary Public
IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have June 1996.	hereunto set my hand this 28 day of
$\frac{l}{N}$	usuulokofficui Ianuela Roggiani
STATE OF <u>Minnoenta</u>)	
COUNTY OF Herregin) ss.	
On this 28 day of June	, 19 <u>9</u> before me personally appeared
Manuela Roggiana to me known and known to me to	
foregoing instrument, and he/she duly acknowledged	to me that he/she executed the same for the uses
and purposes therein set forth.	
L'SCA MOGEARY	Lindo Melrean
MOTATY PUBLIC MINESOTA HENNEPIN COUNTY My Commission Expires Jan. 31, 2000	Piro McGeany Notary Public

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF. I have hereunto set my hand this 28 day of 1996.
Jennifer Stochr
STATE OF
On this 28 day of, 1996 before me personally appeared
Jennifer Stoehr to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the
foregoing instrument, and he/she duly acknowledged to me that he/she executed the same for the uses
and purposes therein set forth.
L'NDA MICGEARY MITAL PUELS - MINESOTA HENNERIN COUNTY My Commission Expires Jan. 31, 2000 Notary Public
TUNE IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this V day of June 196.
Douglas Whandorf
Bodglas & mendori
STATE OF Minerale) SS. COUNTY OF Henrepin)
On this 33 day of, 19 % before me personally appeared
Douglas Ohlendorf to me known and known to me to be the person described in and who executed the
foregoing instrument, and he/she duly acknowledged to me that he/she executed the same for the uses
and purposes therein set forth.
L'AIDA NICGEARY NOTAE/ PUBLIC MINEBOTA HENNEPIN COUNTY My Commission Expires Notary Public